| | I MITED STA | TEC DICTOR | CT COURTUS, DISTRICT COURT | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Chile Sir | District of | DISTRICT OF MEBRASKA NEBRASKA | |
| 1 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA | District of | 2006 AUG 14 PH 4: 17 | |
| · · | V. | ODULD | | |
| VICTO | DR MANUEL ALVARADO SANCHEZ Defendant | Case | 4:06MJ3036-DLP | |
| În acc | • | f) a detention hearing has | heen held. I conclude that the following from require the | |
| In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. | | | | |
| Part I—Findings of Fact | | | | |
| (1) (1) | The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a | | | |
| * | | | | |
| (3) A | a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the | | | |
| s | safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. | | | |
| X (1) | | ternative Findings (A) | · 1 00 | |
| ר (ז) ז | There is probable cause to believe that the for which a maximum term of impriso under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). | e defendant has com onment of ten years of | or 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq. | |
| X (2) T | The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establis he appearance of the defendant as required and the saf | thed by finding 1 that no con ety of the community. | ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure | |
| | Alternative Findings (B) | | | |
| (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. | | | | |
| (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community. | | | | |
| _ | | | | |
| - | | | | |
| - | | | | |
| _ | | | | |
| Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention | | | | |
| I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by \Box clear and convincing evidence \Box a prepon- | | | | |
| derance of the evidence that | | | | |
| 500 | Plant Investigat | a Third F | arty Custidy arrangemen | |
| and passible band amounts. Reports to court & | | | | |
| | a siver as prac | Z (CAR) P | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| reasonable Governmen | fendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Gen nt practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sente opportunity for private consultation with defense cou | ences or being held in cust insel. On order of a court | sention sentative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, tody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a of the United States or on request of an attorney for the le United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance | |
| 8-14-06 | | | | |
| Date Signature of Judicial Officer | | | | |
| | David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge | | | |
| | | Name an | d Title of Judicial Officer | |

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).